

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Crim. Action No.: 1:22-CR-40
(Judge Kleeh)

DAVID PAUL RITTER,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY [ECF NO. 16],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

On June 22, 2022, the Defendant, David Paul Ritter ("Ritter"), appeared before United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Aloï and moved for permission to enter a plea of **GUILTY** to Counts One and Two of the Information, charging him in Count One with Bank Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 656 and in Count Two with Filing False Tax Return, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1). Ritter stated that he understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and Ritter consented to pleading before the magistrate judge.

This Court referred Ritter's plea of guilty to the magistrate judge for the purpose of administering the allocution, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

USA v. RITTER

1:22-CR-40

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY [ECF NO. 16],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

Based upon Ritter's statements during the plea hearing, and the Government's proffer establishing that an independent factual basis for the plea existed, the magistrate judge found that Ritter was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that Ritter understood the charges against him and the consequences of his plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. The magistrate judge issued a *Report and Recommendation Concerning Plea of Guilty* ("R&R") [ECF No. 16] finding a factual basis for the plea and recommending that this Court accept Ritter's plea of guilty to Counts One and Two of the Information.

The magistrate judge **released** Ritter on the terms of the Order Setting Conditions of Release. ECF No. 15.

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after service of the R&R. He further advised that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based on the R&R. Neither Ritter nor the Government filed objections to the R&R.

Accordingly, this Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's R&R [ECF No. 16], provisionally **ACCEPTS** Ritter's guilty plea, and

USA v. RITTER

1:22-CR-40

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY [ECF NO. 16],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

ADJUDGES him **GUILTY** of the crimes charged in Counts One and Two of the Information.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c) (3) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), the Court **DEFERS** acceptance of the proposed plea agreement until it has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6A1 et seq., the Court **ORDERS** the following:

1. The Probation Officer shall undertake a presentence investigation of Ritter, and prepare a presentence investigation report for the Court;

2. The Government and Ritter shall each provide their narrative descriptions of the offense to the Probation Officer by **July 22, 2022**;

3. The presentence investigation report shall be disclosed to Ritter, his counsel, and the Government on or before **September 5, 2022**; however, the Probation Officer shall not disclose any sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(e) (3);

4. Counsel may file written objections to the presentence investigation report on or before **September 19, 2022**;

USA v. RITTER

1:22-CR-40

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY [ECF NO. 16],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

5. Counsel's responses to objections to the presentence investigation report shall be filed on or before **September 26, 2022;**

6. The Office of Probation shall submit the presentence investigation report with addendum to the Court on or before **October 10, 2022;** and

7. Counsel may file any written sentencing memoranda or statements and motions for departure from the Sentencing Guidelines, including the factual basis for the same, on or before **October 24, 2022.**

The Court further **ORDERS** that prior to sentencing, Ritter's counsel shall review with him the revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions adopted by this Court on November 29, 2016, pursuant to the standing order entered by Chief Judge Groh, In Re: Revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions, 3:16-MC-56.

The Court will conduct the **Sentencing Hearing** for Ritter on **November 7, 2022, at 12:30 p.m.,** at the **Clarksburg, West Virginia,** point of holding court. If counsel anticipates having multiple witnesses or an otherwise lengthy sentencing hearing, please

USA v. RITTER

1:22-CR-40

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY [ECF NO. 16],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

notify the Judge's chamber staff so that an adequate amount of time can be scheduled.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record and all appropriate agencies.

DATED: July 15, 2022

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom S. Klee", is written over a horizontal line.

THOMAS S. KLEE, CHIEF JUDGE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA